



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 29]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, सितम्बर 11, 1993/ भाद्र 20, 1915

No. 29]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 1993/BHADRA 20, 1915

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में
रखा जा सके

Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a
separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (III)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (III)

(संघ राज्य क्षेत्र प्रशासनों को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय अधिकारियों द्वारा जारी किए गए आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं
Orders and Notifications issued by Central Authorities (other than Administrations of Union
Territories)

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

नई दिल्ली, 30 जुलाई, 1993

आ. अ. 109.—निर्वाचन आयोग 1991 की
निर्वाचन अर्जी सं. 6 में कलकत्ता उच्च न्यायालय के
तारीख 26 फरवरी, 1993 के आदेश को लोक प्रतिनिधित्व
अधिनियम, 1951 (1951 का 43) की धारा 106 के
अनुसरण में इसके द्वारा प्रकाशित करता है।

[सं. 82/प. बं.-लो. स./6/91]

आदेश से,
राम किशन, सचिव

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

New Delhi, the 30th July, 1993

O.N. 109.—In pursuance of Section 106 of the Represen-
tation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951), the Election
Commission hereby publishes the order dated the 26th Feb-

ruary, 1993 of the High Court at Calcutta in Election Peti-
tion No. 6 of 1991.

[No. 82/WB-HP/6/91]

By Order,

RAM KISHAN, Secy.

Election Petition Case No. 6 of 1991

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

In Re : Bappa Sen Vs. Election Commissioner of India
and Ors.

26-2-93.—Mr. V. P. Mukherjee, Advocate for the petitioner
prays for four weeks' time which was refused he could not
proceed with the case.

This is an election matter with regard to elections which
were held on 20-5-91 and 12-6-91. The matter is still not
ready for hearing. Since then a long time has passed even
after declaration of the election results, which have taken
effect. This application is thus dismissed for non-prosecution
The order will not be treated as a pronouncement upon the
merits of the controversy.

Sd/-

Ajoy Nath Roy.

Sd/- Illegible

for Registrar, High Court
Original Side, Calcutta

नई दिल्ली, 19 अगस्त, 1993

आ. प्र. 110.—निर्वाचन आयोग 1990 की निर्वाचन प्रतीति सं. 2 में बम्बई उच्च न्यायालय के तारीख 23/25 अक्टूबर, 1990 वाले निर्णय के विरुद्ध 1991 की सिविल अपील सं. 8 (एन. सी. ई.) में भारत के उच्चतम न्यायालय के तारीख 26/4/1991 के आदेश को लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम 1951 की धारा 116 ग की उपधारा 2(ख) के अनुसरण में इसके द्वारा प्रकाशित करता है।

[सं. 82/डी. डी.-लो. सं./2/90]

आदेश से,

बलवन्त सिंह, सचिव

New Delhi, the 19th August, 1993

O.N. 110.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-section 2 of section 116C of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951), the Election Commission of India hereby publishes the Order, dated 26th April, 1991 of the Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No. 8 (NCE) of 1991 filed against the judgement dated the 23rd/25th October, 1990 of High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Election Petition No. 2 of 1990.

[No. 82/DD-HP/2/90]

By Order,

BALWANT SINGH, Secy.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Civil Appeal No. 8 (NCE) of 1991

Devji Jogibhai Tandel

...Appellant

Versus

Ishverbhai Jaganji Naik & Anr.

...Respondents.

ORDER

This appeal is under section 116-A of the Representation of People Act. The election of the returned candidate, appellant before us, has been set aside by the High Court on the ground that there had been improper rejection of a nomination paper. It is unnecessary to go into the matter any longer in view of the fact that the 10th Lok Sabha to which the appellant had been elected, has in the meantime been dissolved and fresh election has been ordered. In these circumstances, this civil appeal is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

Sd/-

CJ

(M. H. Kania)

(Kuldip Singh)

New Delhi;
April 26, 1991.